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Template for participants in educational politics, school management, teacher training and research
Your name: Catharine (Karijn) Josephine Helsloot
Your professional background: Linguist \& Language coordinator, faculty of education, Windesheim, University of Applied Sciences, Zwolle, Netherlands

Please outline the language situation in your region or your country (official language(s)? language(s) of instruction? typical foreign language(s) taught in school? dialect(s)? etc.).

Significance of language education in the preschool sector

Significance of language education in primary education?

In the Netherlands, the official language is Dutch (Nederlands=NLD). In the northern province of Frisia (Friesland), Frisian (Fries=FRI) is an additional official language (since 1955). The Caribbean islands Bonaire, Eustacius and Saba - with the legal Dutch status of 'exceptional municipalities' - have Papiamento and English as official languages, in addition to NLD.

In line with the European Charter of Regional and Minority Languages, Limburghese (since 1997), Lower-Saxon (since 1996), Yiddish and Sinti-Romanes (both since 1996) are officially recognized as regional or non-territorial languages.

NLD is the language of instruction in monolingual schools; NLD, English (ENG) and FRI in bilingual or trilingual schools. The typical foreign languages taught in school are ENG, German (DEU), French (FRA), and the classical languages Greek and Latin.

In addition to the national Dutch schools, there are private international primary and secondary schools in the Netherlands, providing education to around 8000 pupils aged 6-19. English is the main language of instruction, followed by DEU, FRA and SPA.

In preschool (2,5-4 year olds) the focus is on NLD, although attention is occasionally paid to the 'home' or migrant languages of pupils. There is no central policy, however, supporting the maintenance of, or education in home- or migrant language(s). The respective policy is decentralised, as each municipality may formulate its own approach.

In primary education (4-12 year olds), NLD is the main target language. ENG is compulsory in the final grades (Group 7 and 8 , to 10-12 year olds), with $2 \times 30 \mathrm{~min}$. lessons per week. Schools can also opt for teaching FRA or DEU.

Schools are allowed, however, to start earlier with foreign language schooling, and a growing number of schools (15-20\%) is doing so. As from Aug 2015, a new bill allows schools to use ENG, FRA or DEU for up to $15 \%$ of their educational activities from either grade 1 (4 year olds) or grade 5 ( 9 year olds). Most

| Significance of language education in secondary |
| :--- |
| education? |

Significance of language education in tertiary education?
schools will choose ENG. In 2014, a pilot study started in which about 20 primary schools participate; these schools offer bilingual NLD-ENG curricula, with $30-50 \%$ of education in ENG.

In primary school there is usually no room for home- or migrant languages. The general tendency is to only allow accepted foreign languages like ENG, FRA and DEU. It is often forbidden to speak other languages in the classroom, corridors as well as in the outdoor playground of the school. Migrant parents are sometimes discouraged to use their language in the vicinity of their children.

A positive trend in primary schools is the growing attention paid to foreign language 'tasters', like a Chinese project or a number of Spanish lessons for the more talented pupils. The foreign language is now seen as educational enrichment. Nonetheless, there is no direct relation with the mother tongues or home languages of the pupils in the classroom.

In secondary education ENG is compulsory. ENG with NLD and MATH form the basic core curriculum; two out of three of these subjects have to be sufficient at the final examination, and one not lower than 5 in a scale of 1-10.

During the three years of Basic Education (Basisvorming) all 12-15 year olds enjoy a second (at lower vocational level) and third (at higher general and pre-university levels) FL. These languages are usually DEU and FRA. At the pre-university level all pupils necessarily take their exam in a second FL. At all levels it is allowed to take an exam in an extra FL.

A school is allowed to replace either DEU or FRA with Spanish, Russian, Italian, Turkish or Arabic. Pupils are also allowed to choose Chinese. Annually, the government provides central exams for these languages. However, a school is not obliged to offer education in these languages, but thanks to IT tools these individual choices can more readily be satisfied.

Bilingual programmes are offered by more than 130 schools for secondary education. The number of pupils participating in the programmes is not officially recorded. Most programmes take place at HAVO and VWO levels, i.e. at higher general education and pre-university education. However, a slowly growing number of lower vocational schools introduce bilingual programmes. Central examination still does not allow for testing in ENG. Therefore, most programmes return to monolingual NLD in the final upper grades.

In intermediate (MBO) and higher vocational education (HBO), as well as scientific education (university, WO) FLs can be chosen as the main subject of study. Outside these studies, ENG is the language of instruction in a growing number of courses and institutions. The seven University Colleges are monolingual ENG. More and more Master's programmes and a small number of bachelor minors in WO as well as HBO, have
$\left.\left.\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|}\hline & \begin{array}{l}\text { ENG as language of instruction. In almost all MBO vocational } \\ \text { programmes at the highest grades 3 and 4, English is a } \\ \text { compulsory subject. Students at HBO and WO level may } \\ \text { choose a FL course as a minor; in certain vocational studies a } \\ \text { second (and third) FL may be compulsory, e.g. in business, } \\ \text { tourism or media. }\end{array} \\ \text { However, there is no central policy regarding all HBO and WO } \\ \text { students concerning the knowledge of either ENG or another }\end{array}\right\} \begin{array}{l}\text { FL. Teaching in ENG increases, but teaching of ENG at HBO } \\ \text { and WO students is mostly non existing. Most institutions do } \\ \text { not assess the students' language knowledge at the start or end } \\ \text { of the studies. The final examination concluding secondary } \\ \text { education constitutes the official certificate of admission to } \\ \text { higher education. }\end{array}\right\} \begin{array}{l}\text { In your region or country, are there classes which } \\ \text { make use of intercomprehension didactics, } \\ \text { tertiary language learning, plurilingual teaching } \\ \text { approaches? Please explain. }\end{array} \begin{array}{l}\text { There is no central education policy regarding plurilingual } \\ \text { teaching, cross-linguistic or across-languages programmes. In } \\ \text { general, teachers of the FLs and of NLD are all organized } \\ \text { separately, although sharing of experiences and of curricula } \\ \text { may take place. Most secondary schools do not offer courses on } \\ \text { general linguistics, cross-linguistic learning, or } \\ \text { intercomprehension programmes. Very few schools with a } \\ \text { large number of FL speaking pupils allow, or even stimulate, } \\ \text { the use of the pupils' own languages for educational benefits. }\end{array}\right\}$

$\left.\left.\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|}\hline & \begin{array}{l}\text { available. Concerning groups are the Surinamese, speaking } \\ \text { Sranantongo; the Antillians, speaking Papiamento; and the } \\ \text { Moroccans speaking (one of) the Berber languages. }\end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { In your region or country, how are immigrant } \\ \text { students integrated into regular schools? }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Special programmes are offered to immigrant pupils or } \\ \text { students lacking sufficient knowledge of Dutch, in order to be } \\ \text { able to participate in the regular school system. So-called } \\ \text { schakelklassen', connect-classes, exist throughout the country. } \\ \text { Since each municipality establishes its own policy, the } \\ \text { approaches may differ. The classic form, however, consists of a } \\ \text { year of Dutch language training, enriched by content-matter } \\ \text { subjects. }\end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Where do you see barriers or problems for } \\ \text { projects towards a plurilingual whole school } \\ \text { policy specifically in your region or country? }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { In Amsterdam, a brand new school (DENISE) offers a whole- } \\ \text { school curriculum to non-Dutch speaking 4-18 year old pupils, } \\ \text { with NLD and ENG as the languages of instruction. Here, the } \\ \text { home languages are allowed to be used freely in the classroom } \\ \text { between pupils, whenever this benefits the learning process. }\end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Please outline the foreign language teaching } \\ \text { methodology prevalent in your region or country } \\ \text { (e.g. learning objectives, typical teaching } \\ \text { methods, language learning strategies). }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Still prevalent is the traditional approach to FL teaching: } \\ \text { classroom teaching on the basis of grammar and text books and } \\ \text { workbooks with exercises. Multimedia tools are sometimes } \\ \text { ased, but not very regularly. All methods developed by the }\end{array} \\ \text { carried out? Please explain. policy could be } \\ \text { educational publishing houses include a website, but the use of } \\ \text { manage a group of children with different languages, and to } \\ \text { apply playful cross-linguistic education. }\end{array}\right\} \begin{array}{l}\text { the online materials appears to be rather limited. Overall, the } \\ \text { focus is on reading, writing and grammar. In some schools, } \\ \text { presenting and debating in a FL is done, but rather on a } \\ \text { monthly than on a weekly basis. }\end{array}\right\} \begin{array}{l}\text { The preschool and primary school contexts seem to be the most } \\ \text { favourable to start with plurilingual educational approaches. } \\ \text { The focus in the early years is on general language } \\ \text { development, less on the development of either one or the } \\ \text { other language. }\end{array}\right\}$

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Where do you see potential or advantages for <br> projects towards a plurilingual whole school <br> policy specifically in your region or country? | Parallel to stimulation of plurilingual activities in preschool <br> and primary school, at the higher vocational level training of <br> plurilingual knowledge must take place. In short, general <br> linguistics should be introduced as soon as possible. |
| Other comments | Fifty years of growing numbers of immigration with a relative <br> increase of different home languages, step-by-step has led to <br> new approaches and to more open and flexible attitudes to <br> other languages than the national and neighbouring languages. <br> The internet revolution provides us with a wealth of language <br> sources, undermining the main argument not to provide <br> plurilingual education, i.e. the financial argument. It is a matter <br> of attitude now. |

